

REMARKS

Claims 13, 14, 18, 21, 23, 25, and 27-42 are currently pending. In the final Office Action dated June 20, 2008, the Examiner made the following disposition:

- A.) Rejected claims 13, 14, 18, 21, 23, 25, 27, and 34-42 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Dedrick* in view of *Lee*.
- B.) Rejected claims 28-33 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Dedrick* in view of *Lee* and further in view of Examiner's unsupported allegation of what was known at the time of the claimed invention.

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections and addresses the Examiner's disposition below.

- A.) Rejection of claims 13, 14, 18, 21, 23, 25, 27, and 34-42 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Dedrick* in view of *Lee*:

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the rejection.

Independent claims 13, 14, 18, 21, 23, and 27, each as amended, each claim subject matter relating to a storing means detachably provided in a terminal unit for accumulating use record information and original profile information so that when the user uses the terminal unit, the terminal unit accesses the original profile information from the storing means to obtain information peculiar to the user using the terminal unit. The storing means includes user schedule data. As described in Applicant's specification, Applicant's claimed storing means includes information inherent about the user, including user schedule data. Specification, page 7, lines 15-17.

This is clearly unlike *Dedrick* in view of *Lee*, which fails to disclose or suggest a detachable storing means that includes user schedule data. Nowhere does *Dedrick* nor *Lee* discuss or suggest a detachable storing means that includes user schedule data. This subject matter is simply not discussed in *Dedrick* and *Lee*.

Therefore, *Dedrick* in view of *Lee* fails to disclose or suggest claims 13, 14, 18, 21, 23, and 27.

Claims 25 and 34-42 depend directly or indirectly from claims 13, 14, 18, 21, 23 or 27 and are therefore allowable for at least the same reasons that claims 13, 14, 18, 21, 23, and 27 are allowable.

Applicant respectfully submits the rejection has been overcome and requests that it be withdrawn.

- B.) Rejection of claims 28-33 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Dedrick* in view of *Lee* and further in view of Examiner's unsupported allegation of what was known at the time of the claimed invention:

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the rejection.

Independent claims 13, 14, 18, 21, 23, and 27 are allowable over *Dedrick* in view of *Lee* as discussed above. Claims 28-33 depend directly or indirectly from claims 13, 14, 18, 21, 23 or 27 and are therefore allowable for at least the same reasons that claims 13, 14, 18, 21, 23, and 27 are allowable.

Applicant respectfully submits the rejection has been overcome and requests that it be withdrawn.

Conclusion

Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the objections/rejections and believes that the claims as presented represent allowable subject matter. If the Examiner desires, applicant welcomes a telephone interview to expedite prosecution and is available at the telephone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Christopher P. Rauch/

Christopher P. Rauch (Reg. No 45,034)
SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL
P.O. Box 061080
Wacker Drive Station - Sears Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080
Phone: (312) 876-8000
Customer No. 26263